



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/613,083	07/10/2000	John R. Ehrman	STL9-2000-0055	9437	
47069	7590 04/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RAYNES & VICTOR,	PAULA, C	PAULA, CESAR B		
ATTN: IBM54 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			2178		
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/613,083	EHRMAN, JOHN R.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>CESAR B. PAULA</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>DAVID W. VICTOR</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-24</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>LEMAY, AND EDBERG</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>DISCUSSED 112 REJECT AND THE STORAGE OF NON-UNICODE CHARACTER IN SCOPE</u> .	CTION, AND DIFFERENCES	<u>BETWEEN THE PRIOR ART</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
	Les Constitution of the Co	ea Slaule			
	PRIMARY	AR PAULA Y EXAMINITED			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983 Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER CESAR B. PAULA

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

FROM: David W. Victor

Attn: Examiner Cesar B. Paula

Group Art Unit 2178 Patent Examining Corps

Facsimile Center

OUR REF:

0054.0038

Washington, D.C. 20231

TELEPHONE:

310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-571-273-4128

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted: INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM (PTOL-413A)

Applicant:

J.R. Ehrman

Serial No.:

09/613.083

Filed:

July 10, 2000

Group Art Unit:

2178

Docket No.:

STL920000055

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 30, 2006

Name: David W. Victor

3108397217

PTOL-413A 0054.0038

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 09/613,083 First Named Applicant: J.R. Ehrman Examiner: Cesar B. Paula Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: Non-Final									
Tentative Participants: (1) <u>David W. Victor</u> (2) <u>Cesar B. Paula</u> (3) (4)									
Proposed Date of	Proposed Date of Interview: March 30, 2006 Proposed Time: 2:00 (PM) (EST)								
Type of Interview (1) Telephonic	Type of Interview Requested: (1) ■ Telephonic (2) □ Personal (3) □ Video Conference								
Exhibit to be shown or demonstrated: Yes No If yes, provide a brief description:									
Issues To Be Discussed									
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
(1) <u>Rei</u>	Sec. 112, par. 1		_ 🖒		Ø				
(2) <u>Rej</u>	1-24	Lemay and Edberg	\$		Ø				
☐ Continuation S	heet Attached								
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: For Sec. 112, rejection, want to discuss why original claim 1 in the filed Application discloses the claim requirement of "storing a string of non-Unicode characters in the constant" Further, Specification discloses a storage device for storing code, so would store the source string in the constant in a storage as claimed.									
For 103 rejection of claim 1, want do discuss why both cited references do not teach, suggest or provide motivation for creating a constant, storing a non-Unicode character string in a constant, and translating the character string in the constant to Unicode.									
Want to discuss claim 5, 6, 7, and 8, and why cited art does not teach using scopes and why cited Edberg does not teach translating according to a scope, such that constants are translated in a portion of the program identified by the scope									
An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on									
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.91).									
This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.136(b)) as soon as possible.									
- West									
(Applicant Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)									